Project Title: UC Davis School of Medicine Faculty Equity Advisor Program

Name and Institution: Colleen E. Clancy, University of California Davis School of Medicine

Collaborators: The Office of Academic Personnel, The Office of Diversity and Inclusion, deans, department chairs, and search committees

Background, Challenge or Opportunity: Diversity is fundamental to the defined mission of The University of California to serve the interests of the State of California, which requires access to the University and equal opportunity for all groups. Moreover, strong evidence links lack of diversity among health care providers to major and persistent health disparities. Despite longstanding administrative commitments to promote faculty diversity, the UC Davis School of Medicine still faces tremendous challenges in diversity recruitment, retention and promotion. There is an urgent need to improve outcomes.

Purpose/Objectives: The goal of the Faculty Equity Advisor program at the UC Davis School of Medicine is to amplify efforts in promoting faculty diversity by connecting administrative priorities and strategic plans, as they relate to diversity, with search committee activities. Faculty Equity Advisors provide outreach, advice, information, and training to advance recruitment of a diverse faculty. They also work collaboratively with the Associate Vice Chancellor of Diversity and Inclusion, deans, department chairs, and search committees to identify and encourage best practices for faculty recruitment.

Methods/Approach: Faculty Equity Advisors can be nominated, or appointed by the Associate Vice Chancellor of Academic Personnel. The term is 3 years and renewable. New and continuing Faculty Equity Advisors are required to complete yearly workshop/training. An online Faculty Equity Advisor Toolkit is under development. Faculty Equity Advisor responsibilities include: (1) Early engagement with the chair/dean to ensure a diverse search committee and/or has members who will be proactive in seeking a diverse applicant pool. (2) Ensure the ad is posted to attract a diverse pool of applicants. (3) Advise search committees on recruitment strategies, proactive search procedures and applicable affirmative action and labor laws. (4) Discuss research on selection bias and climate with search committee. (5) Review and approve the Search Plan and Search Report. (6) Review the diversity of the availability pool versus applicant pool. Review and approve applicant pool, or extend search if necessary. (7) Review and approve the short list of candidates. If this group is not diverse, review the files of other applicants to identify candidates of equivalent quality. (8) Ensure that candidate contributions to diversity are considered.

Outcomes and Evaluation Strategy: Outcomes and evaluation will rely on quantitative indicators including, (1) tracking recruitment venues, (2) comparison of applicant pool to availability pool, (3) analysis of short list compared to applicant pool and availability pool, (4) tracking failed searches, (5) analysis of final outcomes. Qualitative indicators will also be tracked and analyzed including, (1) survey data from search committee before and after training, (2) assessment of search process and activities to identify those that disadvantage underrepresented groups, (3) survey failed recruits to determine reasons. Equity advisors will be polled yearly to identify areas for improvement in the search process.
Diversity is fundamental to the defined mission of The University of California to serve the interests of the State of California, which requires access to the University and equal opportunity for all groups. Despite longstanding administrative commitments to promote faculty diversity, the UC Davis School of Medicine still faces tremendous challenges in recruitment, retention and promotion of a diverse faculty.

Diversity in academic medicine is of critical importance for a number of reasons including strong evidence linking a lack of diversity among health care providers to worse outcomes 1 2. Diversity of perspective has been linked to better solutions for complex problems 3 diverse teams publish higher impact papers 4 5, and diversity in the health care workforce promotes cultural competence 6,7. Lack of diversity is not a problem unique to the UC Davis School of Medicine, but the complexity of our system will require a specific and substantial commitment and new approaches to improve diversity and equalize the rate of advancement of underrepresented groups 9-11.

In a recent analysis in 2014, the UC Davis SOM faculty comprised 30% female faculty and only 5% underrepresented faculty. Women and URM are most represented (but still in a substantial minority) in the designated clinical faculty series that has no protected time for scholarly activities. There is an urgent need to improve gender and ethnicity balance in the SOM to promote all mission areas.

METHODS/APPROACH

The pilot year of the Faculty Equity Advisor program at the UC Davis School of Medicine revealed unanticipated challenges to achieve faculty diversity through the faculty equity advisor model. Despite a underrepresented minority Department Chair with a longstanding commitment to diversity, a diverse search committee, diversity and inclusion bias training and the presence of an equity advisor, the search resulted in the nomination of two finalists from overrepresented groups. A third faculty hire was anticipated via the “Partner Opportunity Program”, which will result in a female hire.

We have now begun an analysis of the interview process to determine which interview activities resulted in elimination of underrepresented groups from the pool. Early analysis suggests that the “chalk talk” session was the primary determinant of finalists. During the chalk talk, candidates were expected to lay plans for future research with strong attention to details of approaches, pitfalls and alternatives. Effective mentoring and training are likely strong determinants of success in the chalk talk.

OUTCOMES AND EVALUATION STRATEGY

Outcomes and evaluation will rely on qualitative indicators including, (1) tracking recruitment venues, (2) comparison of applicant pool to availability pool, (3) analysis of short list compared to applicant pool and availability pool, (4) tracking failed searches, (5) analysis of final outcomes. Qualitative indicators will also be tracked and analyzed including, (1) survey data from search committee before and after training, (2) assessment of search process and activities to identify those that disadvantage underrepresented groups, (3) survey failed recruits to determine reasons.

PRELIMINARY RESULTS - QUALITATIVE

Search Committee Charge: Identify two individuals with exciting research programs that could enrich the research mission of the department, independent of current funding.


Process: 186 applications were reviewed and scored by at least 2 members of the search committee. Application were ranked by score and discussed by all members of the search committee. Subsequent group decision was then made for in depth evaluation, discussion, score, and ranking by all members of the search committee. After this second round, 12 applicants were chosen for initial Skype interviews, and 5 applicants were placed in a strong hold list as alternates.

PRELIMINARY RESULTS - QUANTITATIVE

Search Committee Composition: 7 members: 2 females, 1 latino, 1 black/caribbean male, 2 white males and 1 asian male.

Evaluated 186 applications total. Diversity from E Recruitment was as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pool</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>African American</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
<th>Asian</th>
<th>Native American</th>
<th>White</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Responses</td>
<td>74.20%</td>
<td>25.80%</td>
<td>1.00%</td>
<td>8.70%</td>
<td>44.40%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>45.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Applicants</td>
<td>74.60%</td>
<td>25.40%</td>
<td>1.20%</td>
<td>8.10%</td>
<td>43.40%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>47.40%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Diversity in the short list (12 Skype Interviews):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pool</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>African American</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
<th>Asian</th>
<th>Native American</th>
<th>White</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shortlist</td>
<td>52.90%</td>
<td>47.10%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>25.00%</td>
<td>37.50%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>37.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitest</td>
<td>52.90%</td>
<td>47.10%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>25.00%</td>
<td>37.50%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>37.50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Diversity in the offer (2 finalists):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pool</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>African American</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
<th>Asian</th>
<th>Native American</th>
<th>White</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shortlist</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitest</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

The goal of the Faculty Equity Advisor program at the UC Davis School of Medicine is to amplify efforts in promoting faculty diversity by connecting administrative priorities and strategic plans, as they relate to diversity, with search committee activities.

Faculty Equity Advisors are expected to provide outreach, advice, information, and training to advance recruitment of a diverse faculty. They work collaboratively with the Associate Vice Chancellor of Diversity and Inclusion, deans, department chairs, and search committee to identify and encourage best practices for faculty recruitment.
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