

BRIEF REPORT

Executive Functioning in Overweight Individuals with and without Loss-of-Control Eating

Stephanie M. Manasse^{1*}, Adrienne S. Juarascio¹, Evan M. Forman¹, Laura A. Berner¹, Meghan L. Butryn¹ & Anthony C. Ruocco²

¹Department of Psychology, Drexel University, Philadelphia, PA, USA,

²Department of Psychology, University of Toronto Scarborough, Toronto, Canada,

Abstract

Objective: The current study sought to examine executive function (EF) in overweight individuals with and without loss-of-control (LOC) eating.

Method: Eighty overweight and obese individuals entering a behavioural weight loss trial with ($n = 18$) and without ($n = 62$) LOC eating were administered a clinical interview and neuropsychological battery designed to assess self-regulatory control, planning, delayed discounting and working memory.

Results: After controlling for age, IQ and depression, individuals with LOC eating performed worse on tasks of planning and self-regulatory control and did not differ in performance on other tasks.

Discussion: Results indicate that overweight individuals with LOC eating display relative deficits in EF compared with overweight individuals without LOC eating. Planning and self-regulatory control deficits in particular may contribute to dysregulated eating patterns, increasing susceptibility to LOC episodes. Future research should examine how EF deficits relate to treatment outcome. Copyright © 2014 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd and Eating Disorders Association.

Received 26 January 2014; Revised 11 May 2014; Accepted 30 May 2014

Keywords

binge eating; neuropsychology; loss-of-control-eating; obesity; executive function

*Correspondence

Stephanie Manasse, Department of Psychology, Drexel University, Stratton Hall, 3141 Chestnut Street, Suite 119, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA, phone: 215-553-7125.
Email: smm522@drexel.edu

Published online in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com) DOI: 10.1002/erv.2304

Introduction and aims

Binge eating (BE) is characterised by the consumption of an objectively large amount of food within a discrete amount of time, accompanied by a sense of loss of control (LOC) over eating. LOC eating is the subjective experience of being unable to control how much one is eating, regardless of amount consumed (Tanofsky-Kraff et al., 2009). Converging evidence suggests that the experience of LOC eating, rather than objective size or frequency of amount consumed, is the characteristic of BE most associated with distress and poor outcomes (Latner, Hildebrandt, Rosewall, Chisholm, & Hayashi, 2007; Mond, Latner, Hay, Owen, & Rodgers, 2010). A growing body of literature suggests that implicit neurocognitive processes, such as executive functioning (EF), may underlie the regulation of eating behaviour and food intake (Cohen, 2008). EF deficits have been detected across a wide spectrum of eating and weight-related disorders (Fagundo et al., 2012); however, the neurocognitive characterisation of individuals with LOC eating in the absence of compensatory behaviour (e.g. self-induced vomiting) remains understudied and poorly understood (Van den Eynde et al., 2011). Further understanding of the specific control processes underlying LOC eating in overweight and obese individuals is sorely needed to discover new treatment targets and provide direction for understanding neural mechanisms that drive and maintain BE.

Executive function and binge eating

Executive function encompasses a diverse, overlapping group of higher-level control processes that enable an individual to perform self-organised and goal-directed behaviour. Deficits in EF may contribute to the development and maintenance of BE. More specifically, EF deficits may lead to an inability to balance a desire for immediate relief of tension with future consequences or to deliberately plan and execute an adaptive strategy in the context of BE cues (e.g. negative affect, highly-palatable food and interpersonal conflict). There are several specific EF deficits that, if present, could theoretically influence the development and maintenance of LOC eating: (i) cognitive inflexibility, which might contribute to an over-focus on eating as a learned coping strategy in the presence of BE cues and difficulty generating and enacting other behavioural patterns; (ii) poor self-regulatory control, which may contribute to the automatic response to eat when faced with a cue, and the marked drive to continue eating until uncomfortably full; (iii) planning deficits, which could contribute to an inability to develop, organise and execute a structured and regularised plan of eating, increasing susceptibility to BE; (iv) overvaluation of immediate versus delayed reward; and (v) working memory difficulties, which may lead to weaknesses remembering and updating goals (e.g. to only eat at preplanned

times or avoid binge trigger foods), and assessing their match with behaviour.

A small number of studies have found preliminary evidence for EF weaknesses in adults with threshold binge eating disorder (BED) (Danner, Ouwehand, van Haastert, Hornsveld, & de Ridder, 2012; Duchesne *et al.*, 2010; Mobbs, Iglesias, Golay, & Van der Linden, 2011; Svaldi *et al.*, 2013). However, investigations in the extant literature have utilised differing methodologies (e.g. self-report measures to assess BE and a single cognitive task to assess multiple aspects of EF), and results are mixed, with several studies finding no differences in EF between BED and overweight control groups (Davis, Patte, Curtis, & Reid, 2010; Galianto *et al.*, 2012). More research is needed to identify specific EF deficits in those with LOC eating (Van den Eynde *et al.*, 2011).

As such, the current study aimed to examine whether several aspects of EF differ between weight-loss treatment-seeking overweight individuals with and without LOC eating using well-validated measures that examine various facets of EF. We hypothesised that overweight individuals with LOC eating would perform worse on tasks measuring self-regulatory control, planning, delayed discounting, working memory and set-shifting compared with weight-matched controls.

Methods

Participants and procedures

Participants ($n = 80$) were overweight, and obese women [body mass index (BMI) 27.0–45.0 kg/m²; ages 18–70 years] seeking entry into a behavioural weight loss trial conducted from November 2012 to September 2013 at Drexel University. Recruitment took place in two 4-month waves. Participants from both groups were recruited concurrently from the community, using methods such as radio ads and flyers. Exclusion criteria included greater than 5% body weight loss in the past 6 months or having undergone bariatric surgery. Trained doctoral students and postdoctoral fellows supervised by a licensed clinical psychologist conducted clinical interviews and neuropsychological assessments. Participants who endorsed any LOC episodes in the past 3 months were included in the LOC group ($n = 18$), and all other participants were included in the overweight control group (overweight controls (OWC); $n = 62$). No individuals were engaging in compensatory behaviours (e.g. vomiting). Neuropsychological assessment order was counterbalanced across participants. Participants gave informed consent, and the Drexel University Institutional Review Board approved the study.

Measures

The eating disorders examination version 16 is the gold-standard semistructured interview for assessing for BE pathology (Grilo, Masheb, Lozano-Blanco, & Barry, 2004; Wilfley, Schwartz, Spurrell, & Fairburn, 1997). The overeating section ('questions for identifying bulimic episodes and other episodes of overeating') was administered to all participants to examine for presence of LOC eating. The eating disorders examination has high interrater reliability and test–retest reliability (Rizvi, Peterson, Crow, & Agras, 2000) and good internal consistency. (Cooper, Cooper, & Fairburn, 1989).

Wechsler test of adult reading (Wechsler, 2001) is an oral single-word reading test used to estimate verbal intelligence; scores were converted to full-scale IQ estimates. The Wechsler test of adult reading has strong correlations (.70–.80), with Wechsler adult intelligence scale-III full-scale IQ scores for a wide age range (Wechsler, 2001).

Beck Depression Inventory-II [BDI-II (Beck, Steer, & Brown, 1996)] is a self-report measure of depression symptomatology in the previous 2 weeks. The BDI-II has adequate test–retest reliability and high internal consistency, and convergent validity has been established. (Dozois, Dobson, & Ahnberg, 1998; Steer, Ball, Ranieri, & Beck, 1997).

The Delis Kaplan Executive Functioning System (Delis, Kaplan, & Kramer, 2001) was developed to assess several domains of EF through well-established tests from the literature; the normative national sample is consistent across tests (1750 children and adults). The color-word interference and tower tasks were administered. The color-word interference task is a modified Stroop task assessing response inhibition in the presence of distractors (self-regulatory control); raw number of errors made was used in analyses. The tower task requires participants to build towers using a three-pronged apparatus and wooden discs using the fewest numbers of moves possible. Achievement score (derived from number of moves to complete each trial) was used as a measure of planning.

The letter N-back task (Ragland *et al.*, 2002) is a widely-used computerised task of working memory capacity. In the one-back condition, participants are asked to indicate if the letter that appears on the screen is the same as the one that came before it. In the two-back condition, participants are asked to indicate if the letter that appears is the same as the letter that came two before it. An 'efficiency score' that incorporates accuracy and reaction times on one-back and two-back trials was used in analyses.

Penn conditional exclusion task (Kurtz, Ragland, Moberg, & Gur, 2004) is a computerised task measuring set-shifting. Participants are asked to identify one of four objects on the screen that does not match the others on the basis of a rule (e.g. size or shape) that participants figure out based on feedback as to whether the object they chose was correct. The criteria changes without warning, and the participant must switch which criteria they are using to choose the object. A number of perseverative errors (using an old rule to govern choices) was used in analyses. The Penn conditional exclusion task has been shown to have good construct validity (Kurtz *et al.*, 2004).

The delayed discounting task (Robles & Vargas, 2007) is a widely used computerised monetary delayed discounting task. Participants are asked to choose between a smaller amount of money that they would receive sooner and a larger amount of money they would receive later. The 'indifference point' is the point at which the participant chooses the sooner, smaller amount over the later, larger amount. Area-under-the-curve was calculated from indifference points across trials (Myerson, Green, & Warusawitharana, 2001).

Statistical analyses

Independent sample *t*-tests were used to compare demographic and clinical characteristics between groups. Primary outcome analyses utilised ANCOVAs for each dependent variable. The

small size of the LOC group that could be recruited in this pilot study ($n=18$) resulted in low power to detect between-group differences in the neurocognitive variables, as calculated *a priori* ($\beta = .35-.65$, assuming effect sizes of $f = .25-.40$). As such, effect sizes obtained in the current study were examined in conjunction with statistical significance, with the intention of identifying directions for further investigation and replication.

Because of known associations of age and IQ with neurocognitive performance (Bugg, DeLosh, Davalos, & Davis, 2007; Salthouse, Fristoe, & Rhee, 1996), these variables were entered as covariates. Because of high comorbidity of depression with BED, analyses were repeated with BDI-II score added as a covariate to examine the influence of depression on EF in the sample. False-discovery rate (Benjamini & Hochberg, 1995) was used to correct for multiple comparisons. Alpha level was set at .05 for interpreting statistical significance, and statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 20 (IBM, 2013).

Results

Clinical characteristics of participants

Sample demographic and clinical characteristics are presented in Table 1. BMI was not significantly associated with LOC status ($r = .124$, $p = .27$); of the sample, 82.8% of participants were in the obese range (BMI 30.0–45.0 kg/m²), and the remainder were overweight (BMI 27.0–29.99 kg/m²). Consistent with previous literature (Smith, Hay, Campbell, & Trollor, 2011), working memory capacity was associated with BMI ($r = -.26$, $p = .02$), but no other dependent variables were associated with BMI. The LOC group was younger, more depressed and had a lower IQ (at the trend level) than the OWC group; as such, we controlled for IQ and age in analyses. We repeated analyses controlling for BDI-II score in order to parse out effects of depression on EF.

Executive functioning differences between groups

The LOC group performed significantly worse on the N-back, tower task and made more inhibition errors on the color-word interference task (Table 2). The distribution of inhibition errors was positively skewed; thus, the ANCOVA was repeated on this variable after normalisation of the data via a log transformation. Results were unchanged; thus, statistics using the nontransformed variable are reported. Unequal sample sizes can affect the assumption of homogeneity of variance in ANOVA; however, Levene's

tests for homogeneity of variance were not significant in all analyses ($ps > .05$), indicating no large departures from this assumption. The performance of the two groups did not significantly differ on the delayed discounting task or in number of perseverative errors on the exclusion task. When BDI-II score was entered as a covariate, differences in tower task performance ($F(1, 79) = 4.05$, $p = .048$, 95%CI $[-1.378, -3.384]$) and number of inhibition errors ($F(1, 79) = 6.40$, $p = .01$, 95%CI $[1.81, 3.64]$) remained significant; however, the difference in N-back performance was no longer statistically significant ($F(1, 79) = .782$, $p = .38$, 95%CI $[-.23, -.01]$).

Discussion

Results of the current study represent novel findings that, in overweight individuals, LOC eating (regardless of frequency and size of episodes) is associated with several EF weaknesses. These findings are generally consistent with those of prior studies that documented impairments in EF in populations with eating pathology (Lopez, Tchanturia, Stahl, & Treasure, 2008a; Roberts, Tchanturia, Stahl, Southgate, & Treasure, 2007) but represent that first evidence that the underlying construct of LOC itself is associated with EF deficits. Specifically, our results indicate that overweight individuals with LOC eating perform worse on tasks of self-regulatory control, planning and working memory compared with overweight individuals without LOC eating. The pattern of deficits observed may help to explain the development and maintenance of LOC eating within overweight and obese samples. For example, poor planning may contribute to irregular eating patterns (e.g. going long periods without eating) that lead to extreme hunger, thus increasing susceptibility to LOC eating. Deficits in self-regulatory control may further increase susceptibility to LOC episodes in food environments where palatable food, or food associated with past binge episodes, is available. Working memory may also play a role in inability to keep goal-relevant information online; however, the causal factor for this deficit may heighten depressive symptoms rather than LOC (Harvey et al., 2004). Only two other studies have examined self-regulatory control, planning or working memory in those with BED (Duchesne et al., 2010; Svaldi et al., 2014), both of which reported similar deficits.

Table 2 Differences in executive function by group, controlling for age and IQ

	LOC group* ($n = 18$)	OWC group* ($n = 62$)	<i>F</i>	<i>p</i>	Effect size ^a
Perseverative errors	16.00 (2.34)	16.43 (1.22)	.03	.85	< .01
N-back efficiency score	4.40 (.10)	4.62 (.05)	4.06	.047	.04
Color-word interference errors	5.82 (.76)	3.4 (.39)	7.92	< .01 ^b	.08
Tower task achievement score	15.12 (.89)	17.43 (.46)	5.25	.02 ^b	.05
Delay discounting	.65 (.04)	.72 (.02)	2.60	.11	.03

*Data are shown as adjusted means (standard error).

^aPartial eta squared.

^bRemained statistically significant ($p < .05$) after correction for multiple comparisons using false discovery rate.

Table 1 Demographic and clinical characteristics by group

	LOC group* ($n = 18$)	OWC group* ($n = 62$)	<i>t</i>	<i>p</i>	Effect size ^a
Age (years)	47.77 (14.70)	53.31 (9.46)	4.58	.04	.45
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	35.93 (6.51)	36.15 (5.50)	.02	.89	.04
IQ	110.23 (14.11)	114.12 (10.81)	2.19	.14	.31
BDI-II ^b	13.05 (6.48)	6.94 (6.00)	16.67	< .01	.99

*Data are shown as mean (SD).

^aCohen's *d*

^bBeck Depression Inventory-II; 0–9 indicates minimal depression and 10–18 indicates mild depression.

The current study featured a number of strengths. The examination of the presence of LOC eating as a correlate of neurocognitive deficits, without regard to specific frequency or size of binge episodes, adding to a growing body of research, suggests that LOC may be a central construct of BE. Additionally, our study used well-validated neuropsychological tasks that tapped into multiple constructs within EF, matched groups on BMI and investigated age, IQ and depression as potential alternative explanatory variables. Despite these strengths, it is important to note some limitations in the current study, including the small sample of LOC participants, and use of a weight loss treatment-seeking sample that could potentially differ from typical BED or overweight populations. For example, although the LOC group scored significantly lower than OWCs in several EF domains, both groups scored above test norm averages on the tower task and above the population mean of IQ, indicating that EF deficits may be relative in nature, rather than objectively low, and/or that a treatment-seeking sample may have unique characteristics. Lack of data regarding number of LOC episodes and how many, if any, participants met full criteria for BED made us unable to examine if EF performance on any tasks differed by BE frequency. Additionally, because of the cross-sectional design, temporal relations cannot be inferred; it is possible that deficits may represent neurocognitive 'scars' rather than preceding factors. Lastly, our sample only included women, limiting the ability to generalise interpretations to men.

Although the current study provides support for the role of EF deficits in LOC eating, additional work is needed to compare subthreshold and full-threshold BED and examine the relation between LOC eating frequency and severity and EF deficits.

Future studies should examine additional explanatory variables that could impact neurocognitive performance (e.g. trait anxiety and fatigue). Other neurocognitive variables that have been associated with BE, including central coherence (a bias towards local over global processing) and food-specific inhibitory control (Lopez, Tchanturia, Stahl, & Treasure, 2008b; Svaldi, Naumann, Trentowska, & Schmitz, 2014), should be examined. The role of EF deficits in treatment outcome in weight loss trials and interventions for BE remains unknown. Future research should examine whether EF performance is associated with outcome or is associated with treatment dropout or poor adherence to treatment recommendations. Replication of results could imply that improving EF directly (e.g. computerised inhibitory control training paradigms) may be a potential method through which to improve outcomes. Continued study of the specific neurocognitive processes underlying LOC eating is needed to provide direction for treatment development and for uncovering the neurocognitive mechanisms that drive BE within overweight populations.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge Andrew F. Frohn for coordinating this project, as well as participants in the study, and research assistants who conducted assessments. This study was funded by a grant from the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (R01DK095069) awarded to Dr Forman.

Disclosure of conflicts

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

REFERENCES

- Beck, A. T., Steer, R. A., & Brown, G. K. (1996). BDI-II, Beck depression inventory: manual: Psychological Corp. San Antonio, TX.
- Benjamini, Y., & Hochberg, Y. (1995). Controlling the false discovery rate: A practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological)*, 57(1), 289–300.
- Bugg, J. M., DeLosh, E. L., Davalos, D. B., & Davis, H. P. (2007). Age differences in Stroop interference: Contributions of general slowing and task-specific deficits. *Neuropsychology, Development, and Cognition. Section B, Aging, Neuropsychology and Cognition*, 14(2), 155–167. doi: 10.1080/138255891007065.
- Cohen, D. A. (2008). Neurophysiological pathways to obesity: Below awareness and beyond individual control. *Diabetes*, 57(7), 1768–1773.
- Cooper, Z., Cooper, P. J., & Fairburn, C. G. (1989). The validity of the eating disorder examination and its subscales. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 154, 807–812.
- Danner, U. N., Ouwehand, C., van Haastert, N. L., Hornsvelt, H., & de Ridder, D. T. (2012). Decision-making impairments in women with binge eating disorder in comparison with obese and normal weight women. *European Eating Disorders Review*, 20(1), e56–62. doi: 10.1002/erv.1098.
- Davis, C., Patte, K., Curtis, C., & Reid, C. (2010). Immediate pleasures and future consequences. A neuropsychological study of binge eating and obesity. *Appetite*, 54(1), 208–213.
- Delis, D. C., Kaplan, E., & Kramer, J. H. (2001). *Delis-Kaplan executive function system (D-KEFS)*: Psychological Corporation.
- Dozois, D. J. A., Dobson, K. S., & Ahnberg, J. L. (1998). A psychometric evaluation of the Beck Depression Inventory-II. *Psychological Assessment*, 10(2), 83–89. Doi: 10.1037/1040-3590.10.2.83.
- Duchesne, M., Mattos, P., Appolinario, J. C., de Freitas, S. R., Coutinho, G., Santos, C., & Coutinho, W. (2010). Assessment of executive functions in obese individuals with binge eating disorder. *Revista Brasileira de Psiquiatria*, 32(4), 381–388.
- Fagundo, A. B., De la Torre, R., Jiménez-Murcia, S., Agüera, Z., Granero, R., Tárrega, S., et al. (2012). Executive functions profile in extreme eating/weight conditions: From anorexia nervosa to obesity. *PLoS One*, 7(8), e43382.
- Galioto, R., Spitznagel, M. B., Strain, G., Devlin, M., Cohen, R., Paul, R., et al. (2012). Cognitive function in morbidly obese individuals with and without binge eating disorder. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, 53(5), 490–495.
- Grilo, C. M., Masheb, R. M., Lozano-Blanco, C., & Barry, D. T. (2004). Reliability of the eating disorder examination in patients with binge eating disorder. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 35(1), 80–85. doi: 10.1002/eat.10238.
- Harvey, P. O., Le Bastard, G., Pochon, J. B., Levy, R., Allilaire, J. F., Dubois, B., & Fossati, P. (2004). Executive functions and updating of the contents of working memory in unipolar depression. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, 38(6), 567–576.
- IBM. (2013). SPSS Statistics for Macintosh, Version 22.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.
- Kurtz, M. M., Ragland, J. D., Moberg, P. J., & Gur, R. C. (2004). The Penn Conditional Exclusion Test: A new measure of executive-function with alternate forms of repeat administration. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 19(2), 191–201. doi: 10.1016/S0887-6177(03)00003-9.
- Latner, J. D., Hildebrandt, T., Rosewall, J. K., Chisholm, A. M., & Hayashi, K. (2007). Loss of control over eating reflects eating disturbances and general psychopathology. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 45(9), 2203–2211.
- Lopez, C., Tchanturia, K., Stahl, D., & Treasure, J. (2008a). Central coherence in eating disorders: A systematic review. *Psychological Medicine*, 38(10), 1393–1404.
- Lopez, C., Tchanturia, K., Stahl, D., & Treasure, J. (2008b). Central coherence in women with bulimia nervosa. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 41(4), 340–347.
- Mobbs, O., Iglesias, K., Golay, A., & Van der Linden, M. (2011). Cognitive deficits in obese persons with and without binge eating disorder. *Investigation using a mental flexibility task. Appetite*, 57(1), 263–271. doi: 10.1016/j.appet.2011.04.023.
- Mond, J. M., Latner, J. D., Hay, P. H., Owen, C., & Rodgers, B. (2010). Objective and subjective bulimic episodes in the classification of bulimic-type eating disorders: Another nail in the coffin of a problematic distinction. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 48(7), 661–669.
- Myerson, J., Green, L., & Warusawitharana, M. (2001). Area under the curve as a measure of discounting. *Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior*, 76(2), 235–243.
- Ragland, J. D., Turetsky, B. I., Gur, R. C., Gunning-Dixon, F., Turner, T., Schroeder, L., et al. (2002). Working memory for complex figures: An fMRI comparison of letter and fractal n-back tasks. *Neuropsychology*, 16(3), 370–379.

- Rizvi, S. L., Peterson, C. B., Crow, S. J., & Agras, W. S. (2000). Test-retest reliability of the eating disorder examination. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 28(3), 311–316.
- Roberts, M. E., Tchanturia, K., Stahl, D., Southgate, L., & Treasure, J. (2007). A systematic review and meta-analysis of set-shifting ability in eating disorders. *Psychological Medicine*, 37(8), 1075.
- Robles, E., & Vargas, P. A. (2007). Functional parameters of delay discounting assessment tasks: Order of presentation. *Behavioural Processes*, 75(2), 237–241.
- Salthouse, T. A., Fristoe, N., & Rhee, S. H. (1996). How localized are age-related effects on neuropsychological measures? *Neuropsychology*, 10(2), 272.
- Smith, E., Hay, P., Campbell, L., & Trollor, J. N. (2011). A review of the association between obesity and cognitive function across the lifespan: Implications for novel approaches to prevention and treatment. *Obesity Reviews*, 12(9), 740–755.
- Steer, R. A., Ball, R., Ranieri, W. F., & Beck, A. T. (1997). Further evidence for the construct validity of the Beck Depression Inventory-II with psychiatric outpatients. *Psychological Reports*, 80(2), 443–446.
- Svaldi, J., Naumann, E., Trentowska, M., & Schmitz, F. (2014). General and food-specific inhibitory deficits in binge eating disorder. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 47(5), 534–542.
- Svaldi, J., Schmitz, F., Trentowska, M., Tuschen-Caffier, B., Berking, M., & Naumann, E. (2013). Cognitive interference and a food-related memory bias in binge eating disorder. *Appetite*, 72, 28–36.
- Tanofsky-Kraff, M., McDuffie, J. R., Yanovski, S. Z., Kozlosky, M., Schvey, N. A., Shomaker, L. B., et al. (2009). Laboratory assessment of the food intake of children and adolescents with loss of control eating. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 89(3), 738–745.
- Van den Eynde, F., Guillaume, S., Broadbent, H., Stahl, D., Campbell, I. C., Schmidt, U., & Tchanturia, K. (2011). Neurocognition in bulimic eating disorders: A systematic review. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 124(2), 120–140. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0447.2011.01701.x.
- Wechsler, D. (2001). *Wechsler Test of Adult Reading: WTAR*: Psychological Corporation.
- Wilfley, D. E., Schwartz, M. B., Spurrell, E. B., & Fairburn, C. G. (1997). Assessing the specific psychopathology of binge eating disorder patients: Interview or self-report? *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 35(12), 1151–1159.