

ANNUAL REVIEW OF DOCTORAL CANDIDATES (Ph.D.)

FROM: (Graduate Advisor)

RE: (Student)

A review examination was held on _____ by the Dissertation Committee members listed below. The minimum of 3 members being present was observed.

Signatures

Names written in full

_____ (Chair)

The student has been informed that his/her progress is considered to be:

Satisfactory/Unsatisfactory, (Cross out one)

and the Dissertation Committee recommends the following action (Tick off one):

[] The Committee judges the student's performance to be unsatisfactory, and this being at least the second such instance, recommends termination at the end of the quarter.

[] The Committee judges the student's performance to be unsatisfactory but that it had redeeming features. It recommends that the student be allowed to continue in the program subject to closer supervision.

[] The Committee judges the student's performance to be satisfactory and thus recommends that the student be allowed to continue in the program without restriction.