The relationship of alcohol use to weight loss in the context of behavioral weight loss treatment
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ABSTRACT

Despite common wisdom that reducing alcohol intake will facilitate weight loss, little research has examined whether participants in behavioral weight loss treatments actually decrease their alcohol intake, or whether reduced alcohol intake relates to weight loss outcomes in this context. This study examined the relationship of alcohol use to energy intake excluding alcohol and to weight in 283 overweight and obese adults participating in a 26-session behavioral weight loss treatment. The majority of participants consumed low to moderate levels of alcohol at baseline. Participants who consumed alcohol at baseline meaningfully reduced their alcohol intake by end-of-treatment. Alcohol use did not relate to weight at baseline or end-of-treatment when controlling for relevant demographic variables, and change in alcohol use was unrelated to weight change in the overall sample during treatment. However, end-of-treatment alcohol intake did relate to end-of-treatment energy intake excluding alcohol. In addition, behavioral impulsivity and change in alcohol intake interacted to predict weight loss, such that decreases in alcohol intake were associated with greater percent weight loss at end-of-treatment for participants with higher levels of impulsivity. Alcohol consumption may lead to overeating episodes, and highly impulsive individuals may be at risk for increased energy intake during or after episodes of drinking. Therefore, the recommendation to reduce alcohol intake in the context of behavioral weight loss treatment seems warranted, particularly for individuals with high levels of impulsivity.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Reducing alcohol intake is a common recommendation for participants in weight loss programs, with the expectation that making such reductions will improve weight loss outcomes. For instance, government agencies (United States Department of Agriculture, 2015), health organizations (American Heart Association, 2014), and popular weight loss programs (Mayo Clinic, 2014) explicitly recommend reducing alcohol intake during weight loss attempts. Such prescriptions seem logical given that alcohol is energy-dense and that alcohol consumption can trigger impulsive behavior (Marczinski, Abroms, Van Selst, & Fillmore, 2005), with the potential to increase risk for disinhibited eating (e.g. Polivy & Herman, 1976). While there is mixed support for the hypothesis that dietary restraint (the use of cognitive strategies rather than satiety to limit energy intake; Herman & Polivy, 1980) enhances the effects of alcohol on disinhibited eating, consistent findings indicate that alcohol use is associated with increases in short-term energy intake (Caton, Nolan, & Hetherington, 2015).

Currently, available cross-sectional and longitudinal evidence regarding the general relationship between alcohol use and weight management is inconsistent (Gruchow, Sobocinski, Barboriak, & Scheller, 1985; Liu, Serdula, Williamson, Mokdad, & Byers, 1994; Sayon-Orea, Martinez-Gonzalez, & Bes-Rastrollo, 2011), though some recent literature suggests that only heavy or frequent alcohol consumption, rather than light-to-moderate consumption, contributes to elevated weight (Traversy & Chaput, 2015). Furthermore, the amount of alcohol consumed by individuals seeking behavioral weight loss treatment is unclear.

The degree to which individuals adopt the recommendation to reduce alcohol intake during weight loss treatment is also unknown. One study (Egger, Bolton, O’Neill, & Freeman, 1996) found
that men participating in a group-based behavioral weight loss intervention were successful in losing weight, and that they had reduced their alcohol intake at the end of the treatment. On the other hand, another study (Collins, Morgan, Warren, Lubans, & Callister, 2011) found that men in an internet-based weight loss intervention were successful in losing weight, but that they had not reduced their alcohol intake at the end of the treatment. Neither study examined whether change in alcohol use was directly related to weight loss. In addition, there are no studies investigating whether women participating in behavioral weight loss treatments reduce their alcohol intake.

In addition to the lack of evidence regarding changes in alcohol use in the context of behavioral weight loss, there is no existing research evaluating whether reductions in alcohol intake relate to weight management outcomes. While research has not directly investigated relationships between change in alcohol intake and weight control over time, several experimental studies suggest that alcohol use may make weight control more challenging. For example, alcohol consumption has been shown to stimulate appetite (Yeomans & Phillips, 2002) and lead to increased food intake when consumed prior to or with a meal (Yeomans, 2010). Consumption of alcohol has also been linked to increased desire for and consumption of high-calorie foods (Schrieter, 2006) and individuals who abuse alcohol (Verdejo-Garcia, 2010). Research also suggests that both obese individuals (Mobbs, Crepin, Thiery, Golay, & Van der Linden, 2010; Murphy, Stojek, & MacKillop, 2014), as well as to alcohol abuse (Dick et al., 2010). Research also suggests that both obese individuals (Nederkoorn, Smulders, Havermans, Roefs, & Jansen, 2006) and individuals who abuse alcohol (Verdejo-Garcia, Lawrence, & Clark, 2008) display higher levels of behavioral impulsivity. Furthermore, behavioral impulsivity is related to relapse following both obesity and alcohol treatment (Cox, Hogan, Kristian, & Race, 2002; Elhag & Rössner, 2005). In the general population, both self-reported and behavioral impulsivity relate to unhealthy eating behaviors such as eating in response to external cues and negative emotional states and making food choices based on taste rather than health, all of which are associated with increased BMI (Jasinska et al., 2012). In this study, we choose to focus on behaviorally-measured impulsivity, as there are no studies examining alcohol use and behavioral impulsivity in the context of weight loss treatment to date.

In addition to research suggesting that impulsivity relates to risk for substance use, alcohol intake acutely increases behavioral impulsivity (Dougherty, Marsh-Richard, Hatzis, Nouvion, & Mathias, 2008). High levels of impulsivity may therefore compound the risk for alcohol-related energy intake: highly impulsive individuals may be more likely to consume alcohol, which may result in a higher risk for further impulsive behavior, such as unplanned eating. For this reason, reducing alcohol intake might be a particularly important target for highly impulsive individuals undergoing weight loss treatment to prevent these overeating events. To date, no research has evaluated the role of impulsivity in eating behaviors during periods of alcohol consumption.

The current study sought to examine how changes in alcohol use over time in behavioral weight loss treatment relate to weight loss outcomes after one year of treatment. We anticipated overall reductions in alcohol intake at end-of-treatment, consistent with program recommendations. Further, we hypothesized that alcohol intake would positively relate to energy intake excluding alcohol and to weight at both baseline and end-of-treatment time points. In addition, we expected that change in alcohol intake during treatment would be associated with change in energy intake excluding alcohol as well as change in weight, as reductions in alcohol intake should facilitate reductions in overall calorie intake. Finally, we hypothesized that there would be an interaction between baseline levels of behavioral impulsivity and change in alcohol intake in predicting weight change, such that individuals with higher levels of impulsivity who decreased their alcohol intake would see the most weight loss benefit.

2. Method

2.1. Participants

Participants were 283 overweight and obese adults (21.1% male, $M_{age} = 53.2$ years $\pm 9.7$; $M_{BMI} = 35.2$ kg/m$^2$ $\pm 5.0$) enrolled in a one-year behavioral weight loss program. All participants received the same recommendation to reduce alcohol use as a method of decreasing overall caloric intake, though reducing alcohol consumption was not a particular focus of the program. Most participants identified as White (65.7%) or Black or African American (29.3%), with a minority of participants identifying as American Indian or Alaskan native (4%), Asian (4%), Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander (4%), or multiracial (3.5%). Approximately eight percent (8.1%) of participants identified as Hispanic or Latino.

Eligibility required a BMI between 27.0 and 45.0 kg/m$^2$ and age between 18 and 70 years. Participants were excluded from the parent study if they were: a) lactating, pregnant, or planning to become pregnant during the course of the trial; b) reported taking a medication or having a medical or psychiatric problem known to cause weight loss or weight gain; c) reported a medical or psychiatric condition that could limit their ability to comply with the program's behavioral recommendations; d) reported having undergone weight loss surgery; e) were insulin-dependent; or f) had a current or lifetime history of an eating disorder. Participants who met criteria for current substance abuse at baseline were excluded from the parent study.

2.2. Procedure

Participants completed self-report measures, including reports of average alcohol intake, at baseline. At baseline and end-of-treatment, individuals also completed three 24-h food and beverage recalls and had their weight measured by research staff. Two cohorts ($n = 68$) of the parent study were enrolled in a sub-study, which included the completion of a computerized Go/No-Go task at baseline. The Go/No-Go task was added to this study to test an exploratory aim and, as such, was only administered to these cohorts in order to reduce participant burden. This sub-sample did not differ from the full sample on measures of gender, racial/ethnic group, age, baseline BMI, or baseline alcohol intake ($all p > .05$).
3. Measures

24-h food and beverage recalls. Participants completed three 24-h food and beverage recalls via telephone during the baseline and end-of-treatment assessment periods. Recalls were completed for two weekdays and one weekend day. Analyses indicated that there were no significant differences between days of the week in terms of mean alcohol consumed. Registered dieticians conducted the recalls in a multiple pass format and calculated kilocalories per day and grams of nutrients, including alcohol, per day for each participant. Several studies have supported the accuracy of multiple pass recalls for the measurement of daily alcohol consumption as measured by 24-h recalls for the measurement of dietary intake (Conway, Ingwersen, & Moshefegh, 2004; DeMaio, Cicchetto, & Davis, 1993; Jonnalagadda et al., 2000), including for the measurement of alcohol intake (Johansson et al., 2002; Munger, Folsom, Kush, Kaye, & Sellers, 1992).

Additional self-report of alcohol intake. At baseline, participants reported on the Weight and Lifestyle Inventory (WALI; Wadden & Foster, 2006) how many servings each of wine, beer, and mixed drinks or liquor they typically consumed per week. They also reported any history of problems with alcohol consumption and treatment they received. These WALI items have been shown to have excellent test-retest reliability (Wadden et al., 2006). This measure was used to confirm the validity of the 24-h recall, and the correlation between daily alcohol consumption as measured by 24-h recalls and by these WALI items was strong, r(266) = .70, p < .01.

Go/No-Go Task. One cohort of participants completed a computerized Go/No-Go task at baseline as a measure of behavioral impulsivity. During the task, participants were instructed to press a button when pictures appeared on a certain area of the computer screen (“go” stimulus), but not on another (“no-go” stimulus). Pictures of office supplies were chosen for the task so that they were neutral (i.e., not food- or alcohol-related) stimuli for all participants. The outcome measure of interest for the current investigation was the number of times a participant inappropriately pressed the button in response to no-go stimuli, also known as errors of commission. Such a design is commonly used to measure behavioral impulsivity (Jasinska et al., 2012).

Weight. Weights were measured by trained research staff in duplicate using a digital scale (Tanita® model WB-3000) at the baseline and end-of-treatment assessments.

3.1. Analytic plan

Preliminary analyses included examining baseline differences between participants who reported some alcohol consumption versus those who did not using independent-samples t-tests and chi-square tests. In addition, Pearson bivariate correlations between all relevant variables were computed. Primary outcomes included several evaluations. First, change in alcohol during treatment was examined via a paired-samples t-test. Next, the effect of change in alcohol consumption on change in energy intake excluding alcohol was calculated through linear regression. Finally, a model was estimated to examine the interaction between impulsivity and alcohol use in predicting weight change using Hayes’ (2013) SPSS Process Macro. Variables were mean-centered prior to analyses and corrected for heteroscedasticity as recommended (Hayes, 2013). Prior to analyses, the normality of the all variables were examined; grams of alcohol at baseline and end-of-treatment, change in grams of alcohol, energy intake excluding alcohol at baseline and end-of-treatment, and Go/No-Go scores revealed significant skew and were transformed using Tabachnick and Fidell’s (2007) guidelines. Subsequent analyses were completed using these transformed variables.

4. Results

4.1. Description of alcohol intake

During baseline 24-h recalls, participants reported consuming an average of 6.2 g (SD = 11.2 g) of alcohol per day, equivalent to .44 alcoholic beverages per day. Approximately 44% of participants (n = 125) consumed at least one gram of alcohol over the three-day recall period. Among these participants, the average daily alcohol intake according to 24-h recalls was 14.1 g (SD = 13.2 g), or about 1.0 alcoholic beverage per day. Twenty-three participants (8.1% of the full sample) met National Institute for Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (2014) criteria for “heavy drinking” (more than three alcoholic beverages on any given day for women and more than four for men). On the Weight and Lifestyle Inventory, participants who consumed some alcohol reported an average intake of .71 alcoholic beverages per day at baseline; per week, these participants reported consuming an average of 2.6 servings of wine, 1.5 servings of beer, and .99 servings of mixed drinks or liquor. For the participants who consumed some alcohol, the correlation between daily alcohol consumption as measured by 24-h recalls and by self-report was strong, r(117) = .70, p < .01.

With regards to individual differences in alcohol consumption, men were more likely to consume alcohol at baseline than women, χ²(1, N = 285) = 8.04, p < .01. Racial/ethnic group was also predictor of alcohol intake at baseline, χ²(5, N = 283) = 18.3, p < .01; specifically, White participants were more likely to consume some alcohol at baseline as compared to participants of other racial/ethnic categories, χ²(1, N = 283) = 14.9, p < .01. The likelihood of consuming alcohol also differed by household income, χ²(8, N = 275) = 16.3, p < .05. Participants who earned less than $50,000 were less likely to consume alcohol than other participants, χ²(1, N = 275) = 8.2, p < .01. Finally, education level was a significant predictor of alcohol intake at baseline, χ²(4, N = 282) = 11.3, p < .05. Participants whose highest level of education was a high school diploma or GED were less likely to consume alcohol than other participants, χ²(1, N = 282) = 5.1, p < .05. Baseline alcohol intake was not significantly correlated with age r(280) = .03.

4.2. Change in alcohol intake

According to 24-h recalls, 45.1% of participants did not consume alcohol at either baseline or end-of-treatment, 15.5% only consumed alcohol at baseline, 11.4% only consumed alcohol at end-of-treatment, and 28.0% consumed alcohol at both assessment points. Participants who did consume alcohol at baseline significantly decreased their mean daily grams of alcohol intake between baseline (M = 14.1, SD = 13.2) and end-of-treatment (M = 11.5, SD = 16.2), t(83) = 5.03, p < .01. Of participants who consumed at least one alcoholic beverage per day at baseline (n = 49), 50.0% reduced their alcohol consumption by at least half a beverage (7 g of alcohol) per day at end-of-treatment.

4.3. Relationship of alcohol intake to weight and energy intake

Correlations among alcohol intake and weight-related variables are presented in Table 1. Alcohol intake, as measured by 24-h recalls, was significantly correlated with BMI at baseline; however, this effect was not significant when controlling for racial/ethnic group. Alcohol intake was not correlated with BMI at end-of-treatment, and change in alcohol intake was not associated with percent weight loss at end-of-treatment. Alcohol intake was also uncorrelated with kilocalories from non-alcohol foods and beverages at baseline. However, among those participants who did consume alcohol at end-of-treatment, alcohol intake was
correlated with kilocalories excluding alcohol at this time point, \( r(74) = .30, p < .01 \). This correlation remained significant when controlling for percent weight loss at end-of-treatment, \( r(74) = .26, p < .05 \), indicating this effect was not simply a result of participants adhering to all treatment recommendations, as well as when controlling for demographic variables. In contrast, change in alcohol intake from baseline to end-of-treatment did not predict change in energy intake excluding alcohol over the same period.

4.4. Relationship of behavioral impulsivity to change in alcohol intake and weight

Next, the interaction between behavioral impulsivity and change in alcohol intake in predicting change in weight was examined. These analyses were performed for the two cohorts of participants who completed the behavioral impulsivity task (\( n = 68 \); 20.6\% male, \( M_{\text{age}} = 54.7 \) years \( \pm 8.7 \); \( M_{\text{BMI}} = 35.5 \) kg/\( m^2 \) \( \pm 5.9 \)). Participants in this cohort did not differ significantly from the rest of the sample in terms of gender, racial/ethnic group, age, baseline BMI, or baseline alcohol intake. For this model, analyses conducted with the transformed variables revealed similar results to analyses conducted with data in their original metric. Therefore, the model reported here includes original variables for ease of interpretation. All variables were measured continuously during analyses. Results of this analysis are reported in Table 2.

There were no significant correlations between change in alcohol intake, percent weight loss, and impulsivity as measured by the Go/No-Go task. Results revealed a significant conditional effect of change in alcohol intake on percent weight change at the mean value of impulsivity, meaning that the reductions in alcohol intake did predict weight loss at the average level of impulsivity in this sample. There was no conditional effect of impulsivity on weight change at the mean value of change in alcohol intake. Impulsivity interacted with change in alcohol intake to predict weight change. At higher levels of impulsivity, there was a significant association between change in alcohol intake and weight change, such that decreased alcohol consumption from baseline to post-treatment was associated with greater weight loss from baseline to post-treatment. As impulsivity decreased, however, the relationship between change in alcohol intake and weight change diminished.

The slope of the relationship between change in alcohol intake and weight change was computed for GNG scores corresponding to one standard deviation above and below the mean level of impulsivity to examine the effect of change in alcohol use on percent weight change at varying levels of impulsivity. This analysis (Fig. 1) revealed that change in alcohol intake was negatively associated with weight change (such that a larger decrease in alcohol intake was associated with greater percent weight loss) at higher levels of impulsivity; however, as impulsivity decreased, the relationship between change in alcohol intake and weight change weakened. Additional analysis utilizing the Johnson–Neyman technique (Hayes, 2013; Johnson & Fay, 1950) revealed that a larger change in alcohol intake was associated with a greater percent weight loss at post-treatment until a participant’s impulsivity exceeded a raw score of 25.2, meaning that it fell below approximately the 60th percentile of impulsivity.

5. Discussion

The current study offered novel information regarding prevalence of alcohol use among individuals seeking behavioral weight loss treatment and changes in alcohol use during the course of treatment. According to 24-h recalls, most participants consumed low to moderate levels of alcohol at baseline, although a small subset met NIAAA (2014) criteria for heavy drinking. In line with past research (Moore et al., 2005), White participants and men were more likely than other participants to consume some alcohol at baseline, while participants whose highest level of education was a high school diploma and those who earned less than $50,000 were less likely to consume alcohol. In addition, participants tended to decrease their alcohol consumption during the course of the study, in line with program recommendations. Half of participants who consumed at least one alcoholic beverage per day at baseline had decreased their alcohol intake by at least half a beverage per day at end-of-treatment.

No previous study has examined the relationship between baseline alcohol intake or change in alcohol intake and weight change in the context of behavioral weight loss treatment, and epidemiological evidence regarding this relationship is equivocal (Gruchow et al., 1985; Liu et al., 1994; Sayon-Orea et al., 2011; Table 2 The moderating effect of impulsivity on the relationship between change in alcohol intake and percent weight lost.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>b</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b1 Change in alcohol intake → percent weight lost</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>.71</td>
<td>2.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b2 Impulsivity → percent weight lost</td>
<td>−.19</td>
<td>.20</td>
<td>−.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b3 Change in alcohol intake × impulsivity → percent weight lost</td>
<td>−.74</td>
<td>.36</td>
<td>−2.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. \( n = 68 \); \( b_1 \) and \( b_2 \) reflect unstandardized conditional coefficients when the value of the alternate predictor variable is equal to the mean (e.g., \( b_1 \) equals 1.72 at the average level of impulsivity); \( b_3 \) reflects an unstandardized interaction coefficient indicating that as impulsivity increases by one unit, the difference in percent weight loss between two cases that differ by one unit in alcohol intake changes by \( b_3 \) units. SE = standard error; NS = not significant.
The current study directly examined this relationship and found that alcohol intake was not significantly related to weight at either time point in the overall sample, and that change in alcohol intake during the course of treatment was also unrelated to weight loss across participants. Given that Black individuals tend to have higher BMIs than individuals of other racial or ethnic groups (Jackson et al., 2013) and that White individuals tend to consume more alcohol (Moore et al., 2005), this relationship was examined when controlling for racial/ethnic group.

Notably, while alcohol intake did not relate to energy intake at baseline, alcohol intake was positively associated with energy intake excluding alcohol at end-of-treatment. There are several possible explanations for this finding. First, participants’ weight loss suggests that they were restricting their energy intake to a greater degree at end-of-treatment. The cognitive restraint required to effectively restrict intake during weight loss interventions (Phelan et al., 2009) may place individuals at greater risk for disinhibited eating episodes (Heatherton, Polivy, & Herman, 1990). In addition, individuals may believe that their goal of restraint has been violated after consuming alcohol (Herman & Polivy, 1980), and, as a result, may be more vulnerable to eating episodes that are not consistent with this goal of dietary restraint during concurrent alcohol consumption. Research suggests that restrained individuals, for instance, may eat more following alcohol administration (Polivy & Herman, 1976), though this effect has failed to replicate in paradigms that differ from the original experiment (e.g. Källmén & Gustafson, 1998; Poppitt, Eckhardt, McGonagle, Murgatroyd, & Prentice, 1996). Alternatively, it is possible that overeating in response to alcohol consumption is moderated by factors like impulsivity, a hypothesis that has not yet been empirically examined. Similarly, alcohol-related expectancies, or the belief that alcohol will enhance appetite and promote excessive energy intake, may also influence the degree to which individuals are susceptible to overeating following alcohol consumption (Caton et al., 2015), and individuals high in impulsivity may be predisposed to developing such expectancies. Further, alcohol ingestion may heighten the hedonic value of foods, increasing likelihood of consumption in the absence of an increase in physiological hunger (Hofmann, Förster, Stroebe, & Wiers, 2011).

In relation to the current sample, susceptibility to hedonic cues may be heightened during a weight loss effort (Papes, Stroebe, & Aarts, 2007; Rosenbaum, Sy, Pavlovich, Leibel, & Hirsch, 2008).

An alternative explanation for the finding that alcohol intake was more strongly related to energy intake excluding alcohol at end-of-treatment is that individuals were, overall, consuming less energy at end-of-treatment. The effects of alcohol consumption on energy intake may be heightened when individuals are consuming less energy overall, as an increase of the same number of kilocalories consumed would represent a greater proportion of overall consumption.

Despite findings that, on average, change in alcohol did not relate to weight loss, the study identified that reducing alcohol intake was relevant to successful weight loss for certain individuals. Namely, decreasing alcohol intake provided additional weight loss benefits as behavioral impulsivity increased; thus, individuals with higher levels of behavioral impulsivity experienced larger weight loss benefits from reducing their alcohol consumption during treatment. Existing literature suggests that negative urgency, a subtype of impulsivity that has been associated with difficulty in inhibiting prepotent responses on a measure of behavioral impulsivity (Billieux, Gay, Rochat, & Van der Linden, 2010; Gay, Rochat, Billieux, d’Acremont, & Van der Linden, 2008), relates to problem-level involvement in maladaptive behaviors (e.g. problem drinking, binge eating, problem gambling), but not to the frequency of engaging in gambling or drinking (Smith et al., 2007). Furthermore, trait urgency has been found to strengthen the relationship between feelings of anxiety and episodes of alcohol intoxication (Simons, Dvorak, Batien, & Wray, 2010). Considered in the context of the current findings, even when frequency of drinking is similar, highly impulsive individuals may be more likely to experience alcohol-related problems, including overeating, that arise from a disposition towards rash action. These actions, such as unplanned eating events or eating highly caloric foods, may derail their weight loss attempts. It is important to note that these unplanned eating episodes may not have been driven by physiological hunger cues,
but rather a hedonic desire for good tasting food and unwillingness to restrain from consumption. For instance, studies suggest that physical appetite increases occur after ingesting 24 g of alcohol (Caton, Ball, Ahern, & Hetherington, 2004; Caton, Bate, & Hetherington, 2007; Caton, Marks, & Hetherington, 2005; Hetherington, Cameron, Wallis, & Pirie, 2001), which is a greater amount of alcohol than the majority of our participants reported drinking. It is unlikely, then, that increases in food consumption for highly impulsive individuals who drank were due solely to physical appetite stimulation resulting from alcohol intake. Overall, targeting reductions in alcohol intake may be of importance for impulsive individuals, who are already more prone than their non-impulsive counterparts to unhealthy eating behaviors (Jasinska et al., 2012). Limiting alcohol intake directly reduces energy consumption and may also prevent overeating surrounding drinking episodes, which in turn reduces overall energy intake.

6. Limitations and future directions

This study had several strengths, including the novel investigation of relationships among impulsivity, alcohol use, and weight loss during behavioral weight loss treatment. In addition to the noted strengths, some limitations of the current findings should be considered. First, three 24-h food and beverage recalls were the primary tool used to measure alcohol intake. This measure may not have captured some drinking behaviors (e.g. if a participant tends to drink heavily on multiple days per week, but these days were not part of the three day record), or been representative of typical intake (e.g. if a participant had an unusual night of heavy drinking that was captured in their food recall). However, the literature does support the validity of this tool for measuring alcohol intake (Johannson et al., 2002; Munger et al., 1992), and a strong relationship existed between alcohol intake measured through the 24-h recalls and self-reported alcohol intake on the Weight and Lifestyle Inventory. Additionally, although there was no significant relationship between alcohol intake and weight or weight loss in the current study, it is possible that this relationship exists, but that we were not able to detect it in the current sample.

Notably, individuals in this study reported a lower level of alcohol intake than the general population (LaVallee & Yi, 2011; Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2012). The relatively low levels of alcohol consumption were likely the result of the high average age and restricted age range in this sample (Moore et al., 2005) and the exclusion of individuals who abuse alcohol. The comparatively low levels of alcohol use and the small subset of heavy drinkers are a limitation of this study. However, many participants did consume some alcohol at baseline and, despite the relatively low use, the results demonstrate participants did make meaningful changes in their alcohol intake.

Furthermore, while the current study examined the interaction between impulsivity and alcohol use to predict weight loss, there was no direct evidence of the mechanism. We hypothesize that alcohol consumption puts highly impulsive individuals at a greater risk for disinhibited eating episodes that counteracted weight loss efforts. The lack of evidence for this mechanism leaves the results open to alternative interpretations. Alcohol-related expectancies, rather than disinhibition, could explain the pattern of our results. There is support for the theory that norms regarding intoxicated behavior may be adequately powerful to cause said behaviors (Caton et al., 2015). In other words, overeating after alcohol consumption could be driven by the belief that alcohol leads to overeating. Another alternative is that highly impulsive drinkers were simply less skilled at planning their alcohol use and subsequently adapting their energy intake excluding alcohol to stay within their calorie goals compared to less impulsive drinkers. The design of the current study means that we were unable to definitively identify a mechanism, although understanding the mechanism will be important for informing treatment recommendations. The current study also did not include repeated measures during the course of treatment, meaning that we were not able to examine the effect of changes in alcohol intake on acute weight loss early in treatment.

The aforementioned limitations demonstrate the need for further research on this topic, with alcohol use during behavioral weight loss treatment as a primary outcome measure. Such investigations should replicate the findings in a larger and more heterogeneous sample. Specifically, the current findings should be explored in the context of a younger treatment-seeking sample, as it has been shown that young adulthood is a particularly vulnerable period for weight gain (Truesdale et al., 2006) and that young adults consume more alcohol than older adults (Moore et al., 2006). While age and alcohol intake were unrelated in the current sample, the small percentage of young individuals (e.g., only 2.4% of participants were under the age of 30) did not allow for a full evaluation of this relationship. Future studies should also consider using a more comprehensive assessment of alcohol intake. For example, using timeline follow-back or ecological momentary assessments (EMA), two common approaches in alcohol-related research (Carnley, Tennen, Affleck, del Boca, & Kranzler, 1998; Shiffman, 2009), would provide more detailed information about alcohol use during weight loss treatment. Most importantly, research should aim to identify the mechanism behind the current findings in order to inform treatment recommendations.

7. Conclusions

Overall, findings from the current investigation provide valuable information regarding the relationship between alcohol use and treatment outcome in behavioral weight loss treatment. While initial levels of alcohol consumption did not relate to non-alcohol consumption, this relationship was important as individuals progressed in treatment. Further, reductions in alcohol use during treatment appeared to be particularly relevant for the success of individuals who displayed high levels of impulsivity. Altogether, current guidelines to reduce alcohol intake during weight loss treatment appeared warranted, with such recommendations being differentially important across individuals.
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