GUIDELINES FOR PREPARING A RESEARCH REPORT

Research experience is as close to a professional problem-solving activity as anything in the curriculum. It provides exposure to research methodology and an opportunity to work closely with a faculty advisor. It usually requires the use of advanced concepts, a variety of experimental techniques, and state-of-the-art instrumentation. Ideally, undergraduate research should focus on a well-defined project that stands a reasonable chance of completion in the time available. A literature survey alone is not a satisfactory research project. Neither is repetition of established procedures.

Research is genuine exploration of the unknown that leads to new knowledge which often warrants publication. But whether or not the results of a research project are publishable, the project should be communicated in the form of a research report written by the student. It is important to realize that science depends on precise transmission of facts and ideas. Preparation of a comprehensive written research report is an essential part of a valid research experience, and the student should be aware of this requirement at the outset of the project. Interim reports may also be required, usually at the termination of the quarter or semester. Sufficient time should be allowed for satisfactory completion of reports, taking into account that initial drafts should be critiqued by the faculty advisor and corrected by the student at each stage.

Guidelines on how to prepare a professional-style research report are not routinely available. For this reason, the following information on report writing and format is provided to be helpful to undergraduate researchers and to faculty advisors.

Organization of the Research Report

Most scientific research reports, irrespective of the field, parallel the method of scientific reasoning. That is: the problem is defined, a hypothesis is created, experiments are devised to test the hypothesis, experiments are conducted, and conclusions are drawn. This framework is consistent with the following organization of a research report:

Title
Abstract
Introduction
Experimental Details or Theoretical Analysis
Results
Discussion
Conclusions and Summary
References

Title and Title Page

The title should reflect the content and emphasis of the project described in the report. It should be as short as possible and include essential key words.

The author's name (e.g., Mary B. Chung) should follow the title on a separate line, followed by the author's affiliation (e.g., Department of Chemistry, Central State College, Central, AR 76123), the date, and possibly the origin of the report (e.g., In partial fulfillment of a Senior Thesis Project under the supervision of Professor Danielle F. Green, June, 1997).

All of the above could appear on a single cover page. Acknowledgments and a table of contents can be added as preface pages if desired.
Abstract

The abstract should, in the briefest terms possible, describe the topic, the scope, the principal findings, and the conclusions. It should be written last to reflect accurately the content of the report. The length of abstracts vary but seldom exceed 200 words.

A primary objective of an abstract is to communicate to the reader the essence of the paper. The reader will then be the judge of whether to read the full report or not. Were the report to appear in the primary literature, the abstract would serve as a key source of indexing terms and key words to be used in information retrieval. Author abstracts are often published verbatim in Chemical Abstracts.

Introduction

"A good introduction is a clear statement of the problem or project and why you are studying it." (The ACS Style Guide. American Chemical Society, Washington, DC, 1986.)

The nature of the problem and why it is of interest should be conveyed in the opening paragraphs. This section should describe clearly but briefly the background information on the problem, what has been done before (with proper literature citations), and the objectives of the current project. A clear relationship between the current project and the scope and limitations of earlier work should be made so that the reasons for the project and the approach used will be understood.

Experimental Details or Theoretical Analysis

This section should describe what was actually done. It is a succinct exposition of the laboratory notebook, describing procedures, techniques, instrumentation, special precautions, and so on. It should be sufficiently detailed that other experienced researchers would be able to repeat the work and obtain comparable results.

In theoretical reports, this section would include sufficient theoretical or mathematical analysis to enable derivations and numerical results to be checked. Computer programs from the public domain should be cited. New computer programs should be described in outline form.

If the experimental section is lengthy and detailed, as in synthetic work, it can be placed at the end of the report or as an appendix so that it does not interrupt the conceptual flow of the report. Its placement will depend on the nature of the project and the discretion of the writer.

Results

In this section, relevant data, observations, and findings are summarized. Tabulation of data, equations, charts, and figures can be used effectively to present results clearly and concisely. Schemes to show reaction sequences may be used here or elsewhere in the report.

Discussion

The crux of the report is the analysis and interpretation of the results. What do the results mean? How do they relate to the objectives of the project? To what extent have they resolved the problem? Because the "Results" and "Discussion" sections are interrelated, they can often be combined as one section.

Conclusions and Summary
A separate section outlining the main conclusions of the project is appropriate if conclusions have not already been stated in the "Discussion" section. Directions for future work are also suitably expressed here.

A lengthy report, or one in which the findings are complex, usually benefits from a paragraph summarizing the main features of the report - the objectives, the findings, and the conclusions.

The last paragraph of text in manuscripts prepared for publication is customarily dedicated to acknowledgments. However, there is no rule about this, and research reports or senior theses frequently place acknowledgments following the title page.

References

Literature references should be collated at the end of the report and cited in one of the formats described in The ACS Style Guide or standard journals. Do not mix formats. All references should be checked against the original literature.

Preparing the Manuscript

The personal computer and word processing have made manuscript preparation and revision a great deal easier than it used to be. Students should have the opportunity to use a word processor and have access to graphics software which allows numerical data to be graphed, chemical structures to be drawn, and mathematical equations to be represented. These are essential tools of the technical writer. All manuscripts should routinely be checked for spelling (spell check programs are helpful), and all manuscripts should be carefully proofread before being submitted. Preliminary drafts should be edited by the faculty advisor before the report is presented in final form.

Two Useful Texts

Writing the Laboratory Notebook, Kanare, Howard M., American Chemical Society, Washington, DC, 1985.

This book describes among other things the reasons for note keeping, organizing and writing the notebook with examples, and provides photographs from laboratory notebooks of famous scientists.


This volume is an invaluable writer's handbook in the field of chemistry. It contains a wealth of data on preparing any type of scientific report and is useful for both students and professional chemists. Every research laboratory should have a copy, and it should be as accessible as the Handbook of Chemistry and Physics. It gives pointers on the organization of a scientific paper, correct grammar and style, and accepted formats in citing chemical names, chemical symbols, units, and references.

There are useful suggestions on constructing tables, preparing illustrations, using different type faces and type sizes, and giving oral presentations. In addition, there is a brief overview of the chemical literature, the way in which it is organized and how information is disseminated and retrieved. A list of other excellent guides to technical writing is also provided. See also The Basics or Technical Communicating, Cain, B.
The Role of Research in the Certified Chemistry Major

Anyone who has participated in an undergraduate research experience recognizes how rewarding it can be for the student and the mentor. The value of an undergraduate research experience goes well beyond the new knowledge that the student creates in the project. The one-on-one interaction between student and mentor is difficult to achieve in other areas of the curriculum, particularly in larger programs. Skills developed in a research experience serve students well, no matter what their career path. For undergraduate students considering a research-focused career, either through work in industry or through pursuit of an advanced degree, a research experience provides an opportunity to explore this direction without making a long-term commitment.

The 2008 ACS Guidelines and associated supplemental documents from the Committee on Professional Training give prominence to the role of research in the undergraduate curriculum. The Guidelines mandate that a certified chemistry major complete five foundation courses, four in-depth courses, and 400 hours of laboratory experiences beyond general chemistry. Student participation in research can be used to satisfy one of the in-depth courses and up to 180 of the laboratory hours. If a research experience is used toward student certification, the student must complete a comprehensive written report on the project. A poster or oral presentation cannot substitute for the written report. CPT has a supplemental document on the qualities expected in a written research report. Another feature of the 2008 Guidelines is an emphasis on the development of professional skills in students: communication in oral and written forms, working in teams, asking questions, designing experiments, interpreting results, thinking in innovative ways, exhibiting leadership, developing a desire for lifelong learning, behaving in an ethical manner, and exercising proper safety procedures. The Guidelines also emphasize the importance of excellence and rigor in educational practices, and supplemental documents from CPT are available on these topics. The Guidelines and supplemental documents set a high standard by stating that undergraduates should participate in original research that develops new knowledge. Furthermore, the intent of the project should be to publish the work in a peer-reviewed professional journal.

Statements in the Guidelines and various supplemental documents provide further support for the value of research in the undergraduate curriculum. The Guidelines state that undergraduate research allows students to integrate learning experiences and participate directly in the process of science. The supplement on rigor states that undergraduate research has the potential to facilitate in students a mastery of independent thought and self-direction. The supplement on undergraduate research says it can be the most educationally valuable experience for students and that students participating in research have the potential to grow professionally and personally in a manner not possible through traditional classroom and instructional laboratory experiences. Finally, the supplement on student skills states that research is one of the most powerful opportunities for students to learn problem-solving skills, provides a unique opportunity for students to develop oral and written communication skills, and provides an opportunity to reinforce and develop safety skills.

The Guidelines speak to the value of developing professional skills in the curriculum through a progression of learning opportunities. Students should be able to develop testable hypotheses, design experiments, interpret data, and draw appropriate conclusions: in other words, participate in laboratory experiments that are research-like in their approach. The Guidelines emphasize the importance of support staff for stockroom administration and equipment maintenance, limit weekly contact hours to a maximum of 15, provide expectations for the physical plant and facilities, and express the need for a suite of modern instrumentation and the importance of professional development opportunities for faculty. Each of these areas helps departments support research activities, although CPT recognizes that many faculty members with 15 instructional contact hours a week will have a difficult time integrating a vibrant research program into their schedule.

The 2008 ACS Guidelines and various supplemental documents provide ample evidence of the value placed upon the participation of undergraduates in original research experiences intended for publication in a peer-reviewed professional journal. The Guidelines and supplemental documents referenced in this article are available on the CPT website at www.acs.org/cpt.